Quantitative Measures of Engagement in History Classes: Analyzing the Efficacy of Interactive Pedagogies

Fahruddin Fahruddin [1], T Heru Nurgiansah [2], Veri Setiawan [3] , Arif Saefudin [1]

[1] *Universitas PGRI Yogyakarta, Yogyakarta, Indonesia*
[2] *Universitas Pendidikan Indonesia, Bandung, Indonesia*
[3] *Universitas Sultan Ageng Tirtatasa, Banten, Indonesia*

Abstract **–This study aimed to evaluate the impact of interactive pedagogy on student engagement and knowledge retention. A quantitative method was used. The sample was 500 students from three high schools. The Engagement measurement instrument and Knowledge Retention Test were used to measure engagement and knowledge retention metrics. Data collection was conducted over one semester. Data analysis used descriptive statistics, inferential, ANOVA, and Effectiveness Index. The results of this study confirmed that classroom activity engagement reached 88.32%, and history knowledge retention reached 80.30%, indicating the success of the pedagogical intervention. The Effectiveness Index of student engagement and knowledge retention was 35.70%.**

Keywords **– interactive pedagogies, student engagement, knowledge retention.**

DOI: 10.18421/SAR73-10
https://doi.org/10.18421/SAR73-10

Corresponding author: Fahruddin Fahruddin,
Universitas PGRI Yogyakarta, Yogyakarta, Indonesia
Email: fahruddin@upy.ac.id

Received: 06 June 2024.
Revised: 17 August 2024.
Accepted: 24 August 2024.
Published: 27 September 2024.

1. Introduction

The pursuit of engagement in history education has been a pivotal focus of recent pedagogical research, as traditional lecture-based methods continue to face scrutiny for their limited efficacy in stimulating student interest and retention of complex historical narratives [1], [2].

The importance of this study lies in its exploration of interactive pedagogies as a means to bridge the gap between static historical facts and dynamic student engagement [3]. Recent literature underscores the shift towards more active learning environments, which have been associated with improved critical thinking, greater retention of information, and higher student satisfaction [4]. For instance, studies by Camacho-Tamayo & Bernal-Ballen (2023) highlight the transformative potential of role-playing and digital archives in history classes [5], fostering an immersive and participatory learning experience that resonates with students [6], [7].

A comprehensive review of the literature reveals a growing consensus on the need for innovative approaches to teaching history. In-depth analysis, such as the work by Corrales et al., (2024), points to the promise of technology-integrated pedagogies that leverage real-time analytics to adapt teaching strategies to student engagement levels [9]. Furthermore, Granado-Peinado & Huertas (2023) have expanded on this foundation by incorporating adaptive learning algorithms that adjust the difficulty and presentation of historical content, optimizing the learning experience [11]. This research, therefore, sits at the forefront of educational innovation, building on established theories of engagement to propose a novel, real-time engagement tracking system that not only measures but also responds to student interactions [8]. The introduction of such a system aims to revolutionize the pedagogical approach to history education, potentially setting a new benchmark for educational engagement and methodology [12], [13].

The principal research problem addressed in this study centers on the challenge of quantitatively measuring and enhancing student engagement in history classes through interactive pedagogies [9], [14]. Despite the recognized need for engaging educational methods, the lack of robust and quantifiable metrics has been a significant barrier to assessing and improving these strategies' effectiveness [2]. The solution proposed by this research involves the development of an Effectiveness Index (EI), a novel metric that captures the degree of student participation and knowledge acquisition in response to interactive learning activities [10]. This index, grounded in controlled classroom experiments across a diverse student population, offers a standardized method to evaluate the impact of various interactive pedagogies and facilitates the optimization of history education by allowing for real-time adjustments in teaching approaches based on measured engagement levels [15]. The research thus contributes a valuable tool for educators to systematically enhance historical learning and engagement, as demonstrated by significant improvements in both student participation and historical understanding [16].

In response to the identified challenges of quantifying engagement in history education, the specific solution proposed in this study is rooted in the adaptation and synthesis of methodologies from recent educational research [17]. Drawing on the theoretical frameworks discussed in the literature, particularly those presented by Miralles-Martínez et al., (2019) and expanded upon by Fuhrmeister & Myers (2022) [18],[19], this study employs a combination of direct engagement metrics and adaptive learning techniques [20]. The research instead integrates real-time feedback mechanisms through an innovative use of the Effectiveness Index (EI). This index measures engagement through students' interactive participation and the cognitive retention of historical content, leveraging technology to adapt pedagogical strategies dynamically [21]. The system collects data on student responses during interactive activities and adjusts the instructional methods accordingly, aiming to maximize engagement and learning efficiency [22].

The implementation of this solution in the educational context is conducted through controlled experiments in a variety of school settings, providing a robust testbed for evaluating the effectiveness of these interactive pedagogies [23]. The application of the Effectiveness Index allows for a quantitative assessment of each pedagogical approach's impact, facilitating a comparative analysis across different educational environments and student demographics [24].

This methodology not only addresses the gap in the measurement of real-time student engagement but also contributes significantly to the literature by providing a methodologically sound, scalable approach to enhancing the teaching of history [25]. The outcome of this research demonstrates the practical utility of the proposed system, showcasing a significant increase in both student engagement and knowledge retention, thereby validating the proposed solution's effectiveness and its potential for broader application in the field of education [26].

The literature review conducted as part of this study highlights a wealth of scholarly contributions focusing on interactive pedagogies and their impact on student engagement and learning outcomes. Prominent works by Lin & Shen (2024) and Sorina (2024) have emphasized the effectiveness of role-playing and digital archives in history education [27], [28], demonstrating improved student interest and retention of material [29]. However, while these studies establish a positive correlation between interactive methods and student engagement, they predominantly rely on qualitative assessments or short-term quantitative data, which fail to capture the long-term effects and the real-time dynamics of student engagement [30]. This gap underscores the need for a more refined, quantitative approach that can provide ongoing, actionable feedback during the educational process [31].

To address these deficiencies, this research builds upon the foundational theories posited by Lee & Chun, (2024) and further developed by Rowley & McCrudden (2020), who introduced frameworks for tracking basic interaction metrics such as participation rates and response times[32], [33]. While these studies initiated the path towards quantitative assessments, they lacked the depth and specificity required to fully understand and enhance engagement in history education specifically [34]. The research presented here extends these frameworks by integrating them into a comprehensive Effectiveness Index (EI) that evaluates both engagement and knowledge retention in real-time [12]. This advancement not only fills the identified research gaps but also offers a novel contribution to the field by providing a systematic, scalable solution to measure and improve the efficacy of interactive pedagogies in history classrooms [35]. Thus, this study not only addresses the immediate educational needs but also sets a new benchmark for future research in educational methodologies [36].

The primary objective of this study is to develop and validate a set of novel metrics that quantitatively measure student engagement in history classes, particularly through the implementation of interactive pedagogies [37].

The research aims to fill a critical gap in the existing educational methodology by providing a robust, quantitative analysis tool that captures the nuances of student interactions and engagement in real-time [38]. This not only allows a more precise measurement of the effectiveness of different pedagogical strategies, but also enables educators to make informed adjustments that enhance learning outcomes [39]. The novelty of this approach lies in its integration of comprehensive engagement metrics with adaptive learning technologies [40], thus providing a dynamic, responsive educational tool that goes beyond traditional static measurement methods [41].

The scope of this study encompasses a series of controlled classroom experiments conducted across three high schools in Yogyakarta, Indonesia, involving a total of 500 students. These experiments are designed to assess the efficacy of various interactive teaching methods, from role-playing historical events to engaging with digital archival materials, and their impact on student engagement and knowledge retention [42]. By systematically evaluating these innovative teaching strategies in a real-world educational environment [43], this research aims to contribute substantial empirical evidence to the field of educational methodology, particularly in the context of history education [44], [45]. The results are expected to establish a benchmark for future pedagogical developments and provide a scalable and replicable model for assessing the effectiveness of interactive pedagogies across different educational settings and diverse student populations [46], [47].

2. Methodology Section

This study employed a quantitative research approach, utilizing a one-group pre-test/post-test design to investigate the impact of interactive pedagogies on student engagement in history classes. The primary objective was to determine if these pedagogies had significantly enhanced students' active participation and learning outcomes. To ensure a robust analysis, the study incorporated various statistical measures, including the calculation of an Effectiveness Index (EI) for the pedagogical strategies employed. A total of 500 students from three high schools, namely SMAN 1 Yogyakarta, SMAN 5 Yogyakarta, and SMAN 8 Yogyakarta, were selected using stratified random sampling to ensure representative distribution across different levels and academic backgrounds. The selection criteria required participants to have been enrolled in a history class and to have had no prior experience with the interactive pedagogy tested.

The Engagement Measurement Instrument was developed to quantitatively capture various engagement metrics, including attention, participation, and interaction during history lessons, while the pre-test and post-test assessment instruments were designed to measure students' retention of historical facts and understanding of concepts before and after the implementation of interactive pedagogy. Both instruments went through a rigorous validation process by educational experts to ensure their reliability and relevance to the research objectives.

Data collection occurred over one academic semester, with the pre-test administered prior to the introduction of interactive pedagogies and the post-test conducted at the semester's end. The engagement measurement tool was employed throughout the duration of the study to collect real-time data on student engagement. Data analysis involved descriptive statistical methods to summarize the data and inferential statistics to compare pre- and post-test scores. ANOVA was utilized to assess the effectiveness of the interactive pedagogies across different schools and student groups. The EI was calculated to determine the degree of change in engagement and knowledge retention.

3. Results

The results section of this study delves into the quantitative analysis conducted to evaluate the impact of interactive pedagogies on student engagement and knowledge retention in history classes. By employing robust statistical methods and comprehensive data collection tools, the study provides a thorough examination of how these pedagogical strategies influence the learning process. This section outlines the metrics used to measure engagement, the effectiveness of the pedagogical interventions, and the overall impact on students' academic performance. Through detailed tables and statistical analysis, the findings offer insights into the practical implications of adopting interactive methods in educational settings.

3.1. Effectiveness of Interactive Pedagogies

An assessment of the effectiveness of interactive teaching methods was conducted using participation metrics and test scores to evaluate the process and product of learning. The data analysis yielded the findings presented in Table 1 below:

Table 1. Descriptive Statistical Analysis on the Effectiveness of Interactive Pedagogies

Effectiveness	Maximum Point	Sum	$\bar{x}$	SD	%
Engagement in Class Activities (E1)	10,000	8,832	176.64	2.40	88.32
Retention of Historical Knowledge (E2)	2,000	1,606	32.12	1.92	80.30
Overall Effectiveness of Interactive Pedagogies (E1/E2)					88.32/ 80.30

The process of engaging students through interactive activities (E1) reached 88.32% of the maximum point, indicating a high level of active participation. The effectiveness of the product, which in this case refers to retention and understanding of historical knowledge (E2), was achieved at a rate of 80.30%. The overall effectiveness, representing the combined impact of interactive pedagogies on both engagement and knowledge retention, surpassed the benchmark, indicating a successful intervention.

3.2. Learning Outcomes and Student Engagement

The study employed an Effectiveness Index (EI) to evaluate the relationship between the engagement activities and the subsequent performance in knowledge retention, as outlined in Table 2:

Table 2. Effectiveness Index of Interactive Pedagogies

n	Sum Pre-test	Sum Post-test	Effectiveness Index (EI)
500	42,650	48,300	0.357

The EI calculation resulted in a value of 0.357, denoting a substantial improvement in student engagement and knowledge retention by 35.70%.

3.3. Impact of Interactive Pedagogies on Engagement

A comparison between pre-test and post-test scores provided concrete evidence of the pedagogies' impact on student engagement and historical knowledge, as demonstrated in Table 3:

Table 3. Comparison of Pre-test and Post-test Scores on Student Engagement and Knowledge Retention

Score Type	n	Mean	SD	t-value	Significance (p-value)
Pre-test	500	85.30	10.58		
Post-test	500	96.60	8.76	11.23	< 0.001

A statistically significant improvement in post-test scores ($t(499) = 11.23$, $p < 0.001$) indicated that interactive pedagogies had a definitive positive effect on students' engagement and learning in history classes.

4. Discussion

The current research on "Quantitative Measures of Engagement in History Classes" sheds new light on the efficacy of interactive pedagogies, building upon the foundation laid by prior studies in educational methodologies. Previous literature has consistently highlighted the positive impact of active learning strategies on student outcomes across various subjects [41]. For instance, Ritzen (2023) demonstrated that active learning techniques significantly improve critical reading skills, a finding that resonates with the observed enhancement of historical knowledge and engagement in our study. However, the current research extends beyond the scope of existing literature by quantifying engagement in a history-specific context and establishing a direct correlation between interactive pedagogies and both the process and product of learning history [43]. This dual focus offers a comprehensive insight into how students not only become more involved in learning activities but also achieve higher levels of knowledge retention [15], [48].

Moreover, while previous research, such as that by Perry et al., (2022), has qualitatively assessed the benefits of active engagement, this study introduces an Effectiveness Index to quantitatively evaluate these benefits. The findings reveal a significant 35.70% improvement in engagement and retention, emphasizing the added value of measuring the nuanced impact of teaching strategies. This approach addresses a gap in the existing literature, which often relies on qualitative data or student self-reports, by providing tangible, numeric evidence of the success of interactive pedagogies in history classes [11]. The current research thereby underscores the potential for these pedagogies to foster deeper connections with historical content, surpassing traditional didactic methods [49], [50].

Finally, the comparative analysis between pre-test and post-test scores in this study not only confirms the effectiveness of interactive pedagogies, as suggested by prior studies [51], but also showcases an unprecedented level of improvement in the context of history education [52]. While the literature establishes the efficacy of active learning in broader educational settings, the current study delineates the specific advantages for history classes, marking a substantial contribution to the field [49]. The elevated engagement levels and knowledge retention signify a pedagogical advancement, highlighting the study's innovation in applying quantitative measures within a historical learning environment [46].

Consequently, this research delineates a path for future investigations to follow, offering a replicable model for assessing and enhancing student engagement through interactive teaching methods in diverse academic disciplines [53].

The findings of the current research are significant, as they offer a quantifiable affirmation of the positive impact that interactive pedagogies have on student engagement in history classes [2], [54]. Scientifically, the use of an Effectiveness Index to gauge the relationship between student engagement activities and knowledge retention provides a robust framework for educational research [12]. This metric not only reinforces the validity of interactive pedagogies as an educational strategy but also presents a methodological advancement in how educational outcomes can be quantitatively measured and analyzed [12]. Such empirical evidence is crucial for the development of pedagogical theory and for validating active learning as a key contributor to educational success in academic literature [31]. The substantial improvement noted in this study, a 35.70% increase in engagement and knowledge retention, not only contributes to the scientific understanding of learning efficiencies but also sets a benchmark for future research in education sciences [17].

Practically, the study's implications extend into the classroom, providing educators with actionable insights into how to enhance the learning experience [28], [54]. In a historical context, where engaging students can be particularly challenging due to the perception of the subject as static or irrelevant, the demonstrated effectiveness of interactive pedagogies in increasing engagement and retention is invaluable [34]. Teachers can draw on these findings to inform their instructional designs, integrating interactive elements such as simulations, debates, and role-playing into their lesson plans to stimulate interest and facilitate a deeper understanding of historical concepts [8]. The positive reception and improved performance of students serve as a strong endorsement for educators to move away from traditional lecture-based formats and towards more dynamic, student-centered learning environments [30].

The implications of this study resonate beyond the domain of history education and into the broader educational policy and curriculum development [7], [55]. The quantifiable success of interactive pedagogies seen here supports the case for incorporating such methods across various disciplines, promoting a shift in educational standards and expectations [25]. Policymakers and educational leaders can leverage these results to advocate for a transformation in teaching and learning practices, encouraging a shift towards pedagogies that are not only engaging but also demonstrably effective in improving academic outcomes [33]. The alignment of these findings with current educational trends towards more active and personalized learning experiences further substantiates the practical relevance of this research, signifying a step forward in cultivating well-rounded and critically thinking citizens in an increasingly complex and information-rich society [28].

5. Limitation

While the study provides insightful findings on the impact of interactive pedagogies in history education, it is not without limitations. One significant limitation is the study's focus on short-term outcomes; thus, future research should aim to measure the long-term retention of knowledge and sustained engagement post-intervention. Additionally, the study was confined to a specific educational context, which may not represent the diverse global classroom settings. Future work could include a broader range of educational environments to enhance the generalizability of the findings. Another limitation is the reliance on quantitative data; incorporating qualitative methods such as interviews and observational data could provide a more comprehensive understanding of student experiences and pedagogical effectiveness. Addressing these limitations in subsequent research would not only bolster the validity of the findings but also enrich the understanding of interactive pedagogies' role in education.

6. Conclusion

The conclusion of this research underscores the substantial efficacy of interactive pedagogies in enhancing engagement and knowledge retention in history education, as evidenced by a notable 35.70% improvement on the Effectiveness Index. These findings not only affirm the scientific merit of incorporating active, participatory learning strategies in educational practices but also provide a pragmatic blueprint for educators aiming to revitalize history instruction. The study's implications extend to influencing curriculum development and pedagogical approaches, advocating for educational reforms that embrace interactive learning to cultivate an enriched, student-centered educational landscape. Thus, this research contributes meaningfully to the ongoing dialogue on educational enhancement, demonstrating the potential of interactive pedagogies to transform the teaching and learning of history for improved student outcomes.

References:

[1]. Martini, M., Heinz, A., Hinterholzer, J., Martini, C., & Sachse, P. (2020). Effects of wakeful resting versus social media usage after learning on the retention of new memories. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, *34*(2), 551–558. Doi: 10.1002/acp.3641

[2]. Gambi, C., Pickering, M. J., & Rabagliati, H. (2021). Prediction error boosts retention of novel words in adults but not in children. *Cognition*, *211*, 104650. Doi: 10.1016/j.cognition.2021.104650

[3]. Van Stan, J. H., Park, S. W., Jarvis, M., Stemple, J., Hillman, R. E., Sternad, D., Chandrasekaran, B., & Jiang, J. J. (2021). Quantitative assessment of learning and retention in virtual vocal function exercises. *Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research*, *64*(1), 1–15. Doi: 10.1044/2020_JSLHR-20-00357

[4]. White, A., & Greene, J. A. (2024). Which History and Social Science Concepts Should Inform Health Professions Education? *AMA Journal of Ethics*, *26*(1), 62–67. Doi: 10.1001/amajethics.2024.62

[5]. Camacho-Tamayo, E., & Bernal-Ballen, A. (2023). Validation of an Instrument to Measure Natural Science Teachers' Self-Perception about Implementing STEAM Approach in Pedagogical Practices. *Education Sciences*, *13*(8). Doi: 10.3390/educsci13080764

[6]. Johnston, H., Wells, R. F., Shanks, E. M., Boey, T., & Parsons, B. N. (2024). Student perspectives on the use of generative artificial intelligence technologies in higher education. *International Journal for Educational Integrity*, *20*(1), 1–21. Doi: 10.1007/s40979-024-00149-4

[7]. Sá, M. J. (2023). A Multidimensional Model of Analysis of Students' Global Experience in Higher Education. *Education Sciences*, *13*(3), 1–15. Doi: 10.3390/educsci13030232

[8]. Corrales, M., Rodríguez, F., Merchán, M. J., Merchán, P., & Pérez, E. (2024). Comparative Analysis between Virtual Visits and Pedagogical Outings to Heritage Sites: An Application in the Teaching of History. *Heritage*, *7*(1), 366–379. Doi: 10.3390/heritage7010018

[9]. Smets, W. (2024). The purposes of historical canons in multicultural history education. *Journal of Curriculum Studies, 56*(3), 297-308. Doi: 10.1080/00220272.2024.2328050

[10]. Granado-Peinado, M., & Huertas, J. A. (2023). A Teaching Innovation Project on Writing Critical Essays in a History of Psychology Course. *Teaching of Psychology*, *50*(3), 284–290. Doi: 10.1177/00986283211030909

[11]. Bîrle, S. F. (2022). Learning from their Own History: An Analysis of the Leader's Speech in the Book of Samuel. *Perichoresis*, *20*(5), 81–85. Doi: 10.2478/perc-2022-0032

[12]. Stewart, E. C., & Pittman, A. L. (2021). Learning and retention of novel words in musicians and nonmusicians. *Journal of Speech, Language, and Hearing Research*, *64*(7), 2870–2884. Doi: 10.1044/2021_JSLHR-20-00482

[13]. A Mankute, A., Juozapaviciene, L., Stucinskas, J., Dambrauskas, Z., Dobozinskas, P., Sinz, E., Rodgers, D. L., Pukenyte, E., Kumpaitiene, B., & Vaitkaitis, D. (2023). Interrater agreement between student and teacher assessments of endotracheal intubation skills in a self-directed simulation learning environment. *BMC Medical Education*, *23*(1), 1–9. Doi: 10.1186/s12909-023-04242-z

[14]. Rutherford, S. (2020). Using desirable difficulty concepts to enhance durable learning in design education. *Art, Design and Communication in Higher Education*, *19*(1), 65–79. Doi: 10.1386/adch_00014_1

[15]. Efiloğlu Kurt, Ö. (2023). Learning with smartphones: the acceptance of m-learning in higher education. *Online Information Review*, *47*(5), 862–879. Doi: 10.1108/OIR-10-2021-0516

[16]. Raina, D. (2023). An Enormous Reckoning: Unfinished Projects and New Agendas for the History and Philosophy of Science and Education. *Higher Education for the Future*, *10*(2), 196–208. Doi: 10.1177/23476311231173488

[17]. Saldanha, K. et al. (2021). Turning boxes into supportive circles: Enhancing online group work teaching during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Social Work with Groups*, *44*(4), 310–327. Doi: 10.1080/01609513.2021.1910110

[18]. Miralles-Martínez, P., Gómez-Carrasco, C. J., Arias, V. B., & Fontal-Merillas, O. (2019). Digital resources and didactic methodology in the initial training of history teachers. *Comunicar*, *27*(61), 41–51. Doi: 10.3916/C61-2019-04

[19]. Fuhrmeister, P., & Myers, E. B. (2022). Structural variation in the temporal lobe predicts learning and retention of non-native speech sounds. *Language, Cognition and Neuroscience*, *37*(1), 63–79. Doi: 10.1080/23273798.2021.1944658

[20]. Chen, D., Zhang, W., Bi, J. W., Qiu, H., & Lyu, J. (2024). Hosts' online affinities and their impacts on the number of online reviews on peer-to-peer platforms. *Tourism Management*, *100*, 104817. Doi: 10.1016/j.tourman.2023.104817

[21]. Zhang, H., & Chen, C. (2023). The influence of the" Six precepts of learning songs" of" Gu mislu" On history, art and cultural education. *Herança, 6*(1), 73-83.

[22]. Kiljunen, J., Sointu, E., Äikäs, A., Valtonen, T., & Hirsto, L. (2023). Higher education and the flipped classroom approach: efficacy for students with a history of learning disabilities. *Higher Education*, *0123456789*. Doi: 10.1007/s10734-023-01162-1

[23]. Temerbayeva, A., Kabbasova, A., Zharkumbaeva, M., & c, Z. R. (2023). Influence of Historical Education on the Formation of Civic Identity of University Students in the Learning Process. *European Journal of Contemporary Education*, *12*(4), 1438–1446. Doi: 10.13187/ejced.2023.4.1438

[24]. Gillate, I., Castrillo, J., Luna, U., & Ibáñez-Etxeberria, A. (2023). Memoria histórica y apps para el desarrollo de la competencia social y cívica: efectos del Proyecto 1936 en el profesorado en formación inicial. *Revista Complutense de Educación*, *34*(1), 203–215. Doi: 10.5209/rced.77252

[25]. Walker, W. S. (2022). History museums: Enhancing audience engagement through digital technologies. *Handbook of Digital Public History*, 165–174. Doi: 10.1515/9783110430295-014

[26]. Scholten, H. (2024). Historia y política en la episteme educativa de Amanda Labarca (1938-1944). *En-Claves Del Pensamiento*, *8*(35), 89–107. Doi: 10.46530/ecdp.v0i35.650

[27]. Lin, J. C., & Shen, Y. (2024). Cultural nationalism and its effect on Chinese higher education: continuity and variation. *Asia Pacific Education Review*, *0123456789*. Doi: 10.1007/s12564-024-09943-8

[28]. Sorina, G. (2024). Logiko-metodologicheskiye osnovaniya prepodavaniya gumanitarnykh distsiplin. Filosofiya. *Zhurnal vysshey shkoly ekonomiki, 8*(1), 54-66. Doi: 10.17323/2587-8719-2024-1-54-66

[29]. Perry, C., Boaden, R. J., Black, G. B., Clarke, C. S., Darley, S., Ramsay, A. I. G., Shackley, D. C., Vindrola-Padros, C., & Fulop, N. J. (2022). "Attending to History" in Major System Change in Healthcare in England: Specialist Cancer Surgery Service Reconfiguration. *International Journal of Health Policy and Management*, *11*(12), 2829–2841. Doi: 10.34172/ijhpm.2022.6389

[30]. Shume Nadew, A., Ibrahim, F. A., & Hailu, A. H. (2024). History education in Ethiopian secondary schools (1943–1991): Why it could not yield the desired results? A historical analysis. *Cogent Education*, *11*(1). Doi: 10.1080/2331186X.2024.2310962

[31]. Candel, E. C., de-la-Peña, C., & Yuste, B. C. (2024). Pre-service teachers' perception of active learning methodologies in history: Flipped classroom and gamification in an e-learning environment. *Education and Information Technologies*, *29*(3), 3365–3387. Doi: 10.1007/s10639-023-11924-0

[32]. Lee, S., & Chun, J. S. (2024). Social work education in South Korea in the era of the Fourth Industrial Revolution. *Social Work Education*, 2615479. Doi: 10.1080/02615479.2024.2337265

[33]. Rowley, T., & McCrudden, M. T. (2020). Retrieval practice and retention of course content in a middle school science classroom. *Applied Cognitive Psychology*, *34*(6), 1510–1515. Doi: 10.1002/acp.3710

[34]. Fairless Nicholson, J. (2023). Historical geographies of alternative, and non-formal education: Learning from the histories of Black education. *Geography Compass*, *17*(11), 12724. Doi: 10.1111/gec3.12724

[35]. Idacavage, S., & McAndrews, L. (2024). Letting go of fear and biases: new perspectives on historic clothing for design education in the post-pandemic age of digitisation. *International Journal of Fashion Design, Technology and Education*, 17543266. Doi: 10.1080/17543266.2024.2332782

[36]. Ritzen, J. (2023). A personal history of the political economy of education. *International Journal of Educational Development*, *103*, 1–7. Doi: 10.1016/j.ijedudev.2023.102916

[37]. Hartley, C., Bird, L. A., & Monaghan, P. (2020). Comparing cross-situational word learning, retention, and generalisation in children with autism and typical development. *Cognition*, *200*, 104265. Doi: 10.1016/j.cognition.2020.104265

[38]. Sumarno, W. K., Riyantoko, P. A., & Shodikin, A. (2024). Effectiveness of Bilingual Project-Based Materials to Facilitate Literacy and Numeracy Teaching. *TEM Journal*, *13*(1), 68–76. Doi: 10.18421/TEM131-07

[39]. Widawski, K., & Oleśniewicz, P. (2023). Education in Tourism—Digital Information as a Source of Memory on the Examples of Places Related to the Holocaust in Poland during World War II. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, *15*(14). Doi: 10.3390/su151410903

[40]. Borrero, N. (2023). Embracing the Collective: Challenges and Opportunities in Teaching and Teacher Education in the Wake of COVID-19. *Social Sciences*, *12*(3). Doi: 10.3390/socsci12030194

[41]. Feenberg, A., & Friesen, N. (Eds.). (2012). *(Re) Inventing the Internet. Springer Science & Business Media.* Springer. Doi: 10.1007/978-94-6091-734-9

[42]. Zhu, B., Kaber, D., Zahabi, M., & Ma, W. (2020). Effects of feedback type and modality on motor skill learning and retention. *Behaviour and Information Technology*, *39*(4), 431–442. Doi: 10.1080/0144929X.2019.1599068

[43]. Hacques, G., Komar, J., & Seifert, L. (2021). Learning and transfer of perceptual-motor skill: Relationship with gaze and behavioral exploration. *Attention, Perception, and Psychophysics*, *83*(5), 2303–2319. Doi: 10.3758/s13414-021-02288-z

[44]. Andersson, C., & Palm, T. (2017). Characteristics of improved formative assessment practice. *Education Inquiry*, *8*(2), 104–122. Doi: 10.1080/20004508.2016.1275185

[45]. Al Maani, D., & Shanti, Z. (2023). Technology-Enhanced Learning in Light of Bloom's Taxonomy: A Student-Experience Study of the History of Architecture Course. *Sustainability 15*(3). Doi: 10.3390/su15032624

[46]. Brohinsky, J. (2023). When the Light Goes Out: Ignorance and Multiplicity in Teaching and Learning. *ECNU Review of Education*, 1–19. Doi: 10.1177/20965311231167190

[47]. Constantin, P. N., Stanescu, R., Pelin, F., Stoicescu, M., Stanescu, M., Barkoukis, V., Naidenova, K., Yordanova, V., Gomez, C., & Vershuuren, P. (2022). How to Develop Moral Skills in Sport by Using the Corruption Heritage? *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, *14*(1), 1–17. Doi: 10.3390/su14010400

[48]. Domenici, V. (2023). Training of Future Chemistry Teachers by a Historical / STEAM Approach Starting from the Visit to an Historical Science Museum. *Substantia*, *7*(1), 23–34. Doi: 10.36253/SUBSTANTIA-1755

[49]. Assumpção, A. L., & Castral, P. C. (2024). A Critical History of Formal Pedagogical Strategies for the Valorization of Cultural Heritage in Brazil. *Heritage*, *7*(1), 259–271. Doi: 10.3390/heritage7010013

[50]. Kneifel, F., Morgul, H., Katou, S., Hölzen, J. P., Strücker, B., Juratli, M., Pascher, A., & Becker, F. (2023). Struggle in the bubble - a prospective study on the effect of remote learning and distance education on confidence in practical surgical skills acquired during COVID-19. *BMC Medical Education*, *23*(1), 1–11. Doi: 10.1186/s12909-023-04092-9

[51]. Bartlett, M. J., Umoren, R., Amory, J. H., Huynh, T., Kim, A. J. H., Stiffler, A. K., Mastroianni, R., Ficco, E., French, H., & Gray, M. (2023). Measuring antenatal counseling skill with a milestone-based assessment tool: a validation study. *BMC Medical Education*, *23*(1), 1–9.
Doi: 10.1186/s12909-023-04282-5

[52]. Phimphimon, N., Intasena, A., Srimunta, T., & Khantasiri, P. (2024). Improving Critical Reading Abilities in 10th Graders: An Active Learning Approach. *International Journal of Learning, Teaching and Educational Research*, *23*(3), 186–198. Doi: 10.26803/ijlter.23.3.10

[53]. Maynard, R. A., Baelen, R. N., Fein, D., & Souvanna, P. (2020). Using Iterative Experimentation to Accelerate Program Improvement: A Case Example, *Evaluation Review, 46*(5), 20923199.
Doi: 10.1177/0193841X20923199

[54]. Kawuryan, S. P., Sayuti, S. A., & Aman. (2022). Critical thinking among fourth grade elementary schol students: A gender perspective. *Cakrawala Pendidikan*, *41*(1), 211–224.
Doi: 10.21831/cp.v41i1.44322

[55]. Budiastuti, E., Sugiyem, & Puad, F. N. A. (2023). Developing self-assessment instruments to measure students' performance characters in making dresses using a high-order thinking skills approach. *Cakrawala Pendidikan*, *42*(1), 27–37.
Doi: 10.21831/cp.v42i1.50172