

# Abnormalities of Magnesium Homeostasis in Obesity

Branislava Ilinčić<sup>1</sup>, Aleksandar Kupusinac<sup>2</sup>, Velibor Čabarkapa<sup>1</sup>,  
Edita Stokić<sup>1</sup>, Rade Doroslovački<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Medicine, Hajduk Veljkova 3, 21000 Novi Sad, Serbia

<sup>2</sup> University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Technical Sciences, Trg Dositeja Obradovića 6, 21000 Novi Sad, Serbia

**Abstract** – Obesity is one of the most important modifiable risk factors for the non-communicable diseases (cardiovascular disease, cancer and diabetes), and is often associated with magnesium (Mg) deficiency. In this study we have analysed the characteristics of the anthropometric measures, blood pressure and glucose level, according to the cut off of serum Mg < 0.8 mmol/L (median of the sample) separately in male and female individuals. In this representative sample of obese, mostly middle aged adults, we have observed different pattern of analysed variables associated with classes of obesity and lower Mg levels.

**Keywords** – obesity, micronutrients, magnesium, glucose abnormalities.

## 1. Introduction

According to the World Health Organization (WHO) definition, obesity represent abnormal or excessive fat accumulation that may impair health and promote the development of a number of complications. Obesity prevalence nearly tripled between 1980 and 2016 in the most countries of the European Region [1]. Currently, obesity is one of the most important

---

DOI: 10.18421/SAR11-01

<https://dx.doi.org/10.18421/SAR11-01>


**Corresponding author:** Branislava Ilinčić,  
University of Novi Sad, Faculty of Medicine, Hajduk  
Veljkova 3, 21000 Novi Sad, Serbia

**Email:** [branislava.ilincic@mf.uns.ac.rs](mailto:branislava.ilincic@mf.uns.ac.rs)

Received: 17 February 2018.

Accepted: 15 March 2018.

Published: 21 March 2018.

 © 2018 Branislava Ilinčić et al; published by UIKTEN. This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs 3.0 License.

The article is published with Open Access at [www.sarjournal.com](http://www.sarjournal.com)

modifiable risk factors for the non-communicable diseases (cardiovascular disease, cancer and diabetes), and is often associated with micronutrient deficiencies, most notably magnesium (Mg) deficiency (up to 40%) [2, 3].

Magnesium is the second most important (after potassium) intracellular cation. Of the body's magnesium (adults: 24 g), 60% is deposited in bones, 20% in the skeletal musculature, 19% in soft tissues, and only 1% is in the extracellular compartment [4]. In addition to its important role in maintaining the metabolic homeostasis of carbohydrates, proteins, lipids, magnesium participates as a cofactor in oxidative phosphorylation, intracellular signaling, cellular membrane permeability, and therefore contributes to normal cardiovascular and neuromuscular functions [5]. The Mg homeostasis is tightly controlled by the interaction of dynamic processes, food and water intake, gastrointestinal tract absorption (ileum and colon absorption) and kidney excretion (in physiological conditions, 96% of the magnesium is reabsorbed in renal tubules).

In obesity, the increased intake of low nutritional-quality foods (excess of saturated fats), lack of antioxidants due to inadequate fruit and vegetable consumption, may affect the homeostasis of Mg [6, 7]. Hence the aim of the study was to analyse the cardiometabolic risk factors (anthropometric characteristics, blood pressure and glucose levels) according to Mg serum concentration, in the representative sample of the middle-aged and older obese adults.

## 2. Measurements

This cross sectional study was performed at the Clinical Center of Vojvodina (CCV). The study was conducted according to Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Ethical Committees of the CCV. Informed consent was obtained from every participant of the study. Participants were consecutively recruited from the Department of Endocrinology, Diabetes and Metabolic Disorders, of the CCV. A total of 104 obese individuals (body mass index (BMI) above 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>) were evaluated. In order to evaluate the effect of obesity on Mg status

we divided the study group according to *BMI* value: class 1 obesity (*BMI* from 30.0 to 34.9  $kg/m^2$ ), class 2 obesity (*BMI* form 35.0 to 39.9  $kg/m^2$ ) and class 3 obesity (*BMI* higher than 40.0  $kg/m^2$ ).

Body height was measured using Harpenden anthropometer with the precision of 0.1 *cm* and the body mass was measured using balanced beam scale with the precision of 0.1 *kg*. Body mass index (*BMI*) is calculated as the ration of body mass and the square of body height. Waist circumference (*WC*) was measured using flexible tape with precision 0.1 *cm*, at the level of middle distance between the lowest point on the costal arch and the highest point on the iliac crest. Systolic blood pressure (*SBP*) and diastolic blood pressure (*DBP*) were measured in the morning hours, after 10–15 minutes of rest, by standard procedure and using sphygmomanometer after Riva-Rocci. Fasting glucose levels (*FG*) were determined by Dialab glucose GOD-PAP method. Total serum *Mg* concentration was measured by photometric method (ADVIA 1800) with xylydyl blue metallochromic indicator, reference value for *Mg* were from 0.59 to 0.97 *mmol/L*.

Table 1. Characteristics of dataset

	Minimum	Average	Maximum
<i>AGE</i>	24	44.08	74
<i>BMI</i>	30.03	36.98	56
<i>WC</i>	92	121.34	163
<i>SBP</i>	110	138.58	220
<i>DBP</i>	70	86.78	127
<i>FG</i>	3.7	6.48	19.7
<i>MG</i>	0.59	0.81	0.97

### 3. Results

In Table 2 are given the obtained results for males with the *BMI* between 30 and 35  $kg/m^2$ .

Table 2. Results for males with  $30 \leq BMI < 35$

	Minimum	Average	Maximum
<b><i>Mg</i> &lt; 0.8</b>			
<i>AGE</i>	36	55.68	72
<i>BMI</i>	30.03	32.15	34.72
<i>WC</i>	97	111.55	130
<i>SBP</i>	124	152.91	208
<i>DBP</i>	79	94.86	118
<i>FG</i>	5.7	8.47	19.7
<b><i>Mg</i> ≥ 0.8</b>			
<i>AGE</i>	36	44.55	64
<i>BMI</i>	31.21	32.96	34.61
<i>WC</i>	95	112.48	128
<i>SBP</i>	115	138.64	205
<i>DBP</i>	75	90.73	127
<i>FG</i>	4.24	5.63	6.9

Table 3 presents the results for males with the *BMI* between 35 and 40  $kg/m^2$ .

Table 3. Results for males with  $35 \leq BMI < 40$

	Minimum	Average	Maximum
<b><i>Mg</i> &lt; 0.8</b>			
<i>AGE</i>	34	46.78	61
<i>BMI</i>	35.19	37.56	39.45
<i>WC</i>	102	125.22	138
<i>SBP</i>	122	146.78	170
<i>DBP</i>	70	93.44	120
<i>FG</i>	4.18	7.43	14.4
<b><i>Mg</i> ≥ 0.8</b>			
<i>AGE</i>	28	41.63	74
<i>BMI</i>	35	36.76	39.4
<i>WC</i>	104	123.25	135
<i>SBP</i>	120	144.5	220
<i>DBP</i>	77	86.5	114
<i>FG</i>	3.7	5.39	9.5

In Table 4 are presented the results for males with the *BMI* above 40  $kg/m^2$ .

Table 4. Results for males with  $BMI \geq 40$

	Minimum	Average	Maximum
<b><i>Mg</i> &lt; 0.8</b>			
<i>AGE</i>	30	42.13	59
<i>BMI</i>	40.40	47.87	56
<i>WC</i>	93	135.13	163
<i>SBP</i>	111	132.5	163
<i>DBP</i>	71	86.63	96
<i>FG</i>	4.4	8.64	17.5
<b><i>Mg</i> ≥ 0.8</b>			
<i>AGE</i>	33	37.88	44
<i>BMI</i>	40	44.33	52
<i>WC</i>	130	140.25	157
<i>SBP</i>	130	131.25	140
<i>DBP</i>	70	78.75	90
<i>FG</i>	3.9	5.49	9.4

In the Table 5 are given the obtained results for females with the *BMI* between 30 and 35  $kg/m^2$ .

Table 5. Results for females with  $30 \leq BMI < 35$

	Minimum	Average	Maximum
<b><i>Mg</i> &lt; 0.8</b>			
<i>AGE</i>	37	44.25	51
<i>BMI</i>	30.35	31.72	33.71
<i>WC</i>	92	99	104
<i>SBP</i>	122	145.5	161
<i>DBP</i>	73	86.73	99
<i>FG</i>	5.6	7.58	11.2

<b>Mg<math>\geq</math>0.8</b>			
AGE	24	34.8	42
BMI	30.4	32.75	34.3
WC	97.5	114.88	131
SBP	110	122	140
DBP	70	77	90
FG	4.2	4.72	5.2

Table 6 presents the results for females with the *BMI* between 35 and 40  $kg/m^2$ .

Table 6. Results for females with  $35 \leq BMI < 40$

	Minimum	Average	Maximum
<b>Mg<math>&lt;</math>0.8</b>			
AGE	34	47.6	65
BMI	35.25	36.94	38
WC	102	116.4	135
SBP	120	156	205
DBP	80	90.4	108
FG	5.16	6.45	9
<b>Mg<math>\geq</math>0.8</b>			
AGE	25	37.7	43
BMI	35.2	37.38	39
WC	103	125.25	135
SBP	120	125	130
DBP	70	78	85
FG	3.9	4.67	5.5

In Table 7 are presented the results for females with the *BMI* above 40  $kg/m^2$ .

Table 7. Results for males with  $BMI \geq 40$

	Minimum	Average	Maximum
<b>Mg<math>&lt;</math>0.8</b>			
AGE	28	34.67	40
BMI	41	43.33	47
WC	134	140	147
SBP	115	118.33	120
DBP	75	78.33	80
FG	5	5.43	5.8
<b>Mg<math>\geq</math>0.8</b>			
AGE	35	38.5	42
BMI	40.25	43.96	46
WC	130	135.5	152
SBP	120	125	140
DBP	80	83.33	90
FG	3.8	4.91	6

#### 4. Discussion

In this study we have analysed the characteristics of anthropometric measures (*BMI* and *WC*), *SBP* and *DBP* and the glucose level (*FG*), according to the cut

off of serum *Mg*  $< 0.8$   $mmol/L$  (median of the sample) separately in male and female individuals. In this representative sample of obese, mostly middle aged adults, we have observed different pattern of analysed variables associated with classes of obesity. Magnesium in circulation exists in three forms, a plasma protein-related fraction (about 25% for albumin and 8% for globulin), a fraction of *Mg* in the complex with anions (phosphates and sulphates) and the largest fraction in which *Mg* is (60%) ionized, free, physiologically active [8]. In clinical settings, next to total and ionized *Mg* concentration in the serum, it is possible to determine the concentration of *Mg* in the urine. Although many authors believe that the serum *Mg* concentration does not always reflect its total amount in the body (99% is intracellular), serum concentrations of total *Mg* represent the most commonly used parameter for determining the *Mg* status in the body [9].

We have observed higher *SBP*, *DBP* and *FG* in obese male individuals (class 1 obesity) with lower *Mg* serum concentration ( $< 0.8$   $mmol/L$ ). Additionally to the generalised obesity, these subjects had increased *WC*, indicating the high risky abdominal fat enlargement. In individuals with class 2 and class 3 obesity, the higher *FG* was determined in obese males with lower *Mg* serum concentration. Also, obese (class 3) males with lower *Mg* concentrations had higher levels of *DBP*. Previously, it has been reported that *Mg* serum concentration is associated with the non-communicable diseases, mostly cardiometabolic diseases (type II diabetes mellitus and atherosclerotic cardiovascular diseases) [2,5,7,10]. Furthermore, the enlargement of the fat mass in the body composition could be associated with disorders of the levels of calcium, phosphorus and vitamin D, which indirectly can also affect the *Mg* status in obese patients [11]. Also, obesity related changes in the body composition are also associated with an increase in total body water and circulating extracellular volume with a possible effect of volumetric dilution to *Mg* extracellular concentration [12].

In obese female individuals, we have observed the similar trend of *SBP*, *DBP* and *FG* in subjects with lower *Mg* among different classes of obesity. The observed difference was the most prominent for *FG*. Some studies revealed that females have a higher risk of developing *Mg* deficiency than the males [13]. In addition to the influence of the geographical area, it is necessary to take into account the sex differences in *Mg* daily intake. Also, body composition and fat patterning characteristics in women may have an effect on the *Mg* serum concentration, since the period of the middle age is a peak in the fat mass enlargements [14]. Evidence from numerous studies indicate that the disruption of glucose metabolism is

one of the most significant obesity related pathophysiological consequences [4]. On the contrary, *Mg* may have a role in maintaining insulin sensitivity. Experimental studies confirmed the significant involvement of *Mg* as a cofactor of several enzymatic systems involved in the glucose metabolism. Also, since the intracellular concentration of *Mg* is crucial in the phosphorylation of insulin receptor tyrosine kinase, *Mg* deficiency could lead to disruption of enzyme activity and contribute to the development of post-receptor insulin resistance and impaired glucose utilization in the cells [7,15,16].

## 5. Conclusion

Obese males and females with lower *Mg* levels have higher values of *SBP*, *DBP* and *FG*. The observed difference in cardiometabolic risk factors between obese individuals was the most prominent for the *FG*.

## Acknowledgements

*This work was partially supported by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technological Development of the Republic of Serbia within the projects: ON 174026 and III 044006, and and by the Provincial Secretariat for Science and Technological Development of the Autonomous Province of Vojvodina within the projects: 114-451-2856/2016-02, 142-451-3557/2017-01 and 142-451-2465/2016-01.*

## References

- [1]. OECD (2017), OECD Health Statistics 2017.
- [2]. Nascimento BR, Brant LC, Moraes DN, Ribeiro AL. (2014). Global health and cardiovascular disease. *Heart*, 100(22), 1743-9.
- [3]. Stokic E, Romani A, Ilincic B, Kupusinac A, Stosic Z, Isenovic ER. (2017). Chronic Latent Magnesium Deficiency in Obesity Decreases Positive Effects of Vitamin D on Cardiometabolic Risk Indicators. *Curr Vasc Pharmacol*. doi: 10.2174/15701611156661708211 54841.
- [4]. Mooren FC. (2015). Magnesium and disturbances in carbohydrate metabolism. *Diabetes Obes Metab*, 17(9), 813-23.
- [5]. Jang Won Seo and Tae Jin Park (2008). Magnesium Metabolism. *Electrolyte Blood Press*, 6(2): 86–95.
- [6]. Sang-Yhun Ju, Whan-Seok Choi, Sun-Myeong Ock, Chul-Min Kim, Do-Hoon Kim (2014). Dietary Magnesium Intake and Metabolic Syndrome in the Adult Population: Dose-Response Meta-Analysis and Meta-Regression. *Nutrients*, 6(12): 6005–6019.
- [7]. Nielsen FH. (2014). Effects of magnesium depletion on inflammation in chronic disease. *Curr Opin Clin Nutr Metab Care*, 17(6), 525-30.
- [8]. Elin RJ (1991-1992). Laboratory tests for the assessment of magnesium status in humans. *Magnesium Trace Elements*, 10(2-4), 172-81.
- [9]. Gröber U, Schmidt J, Kisters K. (2015). Magnesium in Prevention and Therapy. *Nutrients*, 7(9), 8199-226.
- [10]. Sales CH, Pedrosa LF, Lima JG, Lemos TM, Colli C. (2011). Influence of magnesium status and magnesium intake on the blood glucose control in patients with type 2 diabetes. *Clinical Nutrition*, 30(3), 359-64.
- [11]. Allgrove, J. (2015). Physiology of calcium, phosphate, magnesium and vitamin D. In *Calcium and Bone Disorders in Children and Adolescents* (Vol. 28, pp. 7-32). Karger Publishers.
- [12]. Drincic AT, Armas LA, Van Diest EE, Heaney RP. (2012) Volumetric dilution, rather than sequestration best explains the low vitamin D status of obesity. *Obesity*, 20(7), 14448.
- [13]. Bertinato, J., Xiao, C. W., Ratnayake, W. N., Fernandez, L., Lavergne, C., Wood, C., & Swist, E. (2015). Lower serum magnesium concentration is associated with diabetes, insulin resistance, and obesity in South Asian and white Canadian women but not men. *Food & nutrition research*, 59(1), 25974.
- [14]. Gába A, Pridalová M. (2014). Age-related changes in body composition in a sample of Czech women aged 18-89 years: a cross-sectional study. *Eur J Nutrition*, 53(1), 167-176.
- [15]. Abrams SA, Ellis KJ (1998). Multicompartmental analysis of magnesium and calcium kinetics during growth: relationships with body composition. *Magnesium Research*, 11(4), 307-313.
- [16]. Takaya, J., Higashino, H., & Kobayashi, Y. (2004). Intracellular magnesium and insulin resistance. *Magnesium research*, 17(2), 126-136.